Structured Summary (your summary should not exceed 4 pages)

Note about reference to dates in this example:

- Dates are given in <u>relative</u> terms to show length of intervals (e.g., "Year1-Year3" indicates a three-year interval—NOT calendar year when positions began or ended per se).
- In your individual mini-portfolio absolute dates should be used (e.g., 2002-2005).

Faculty Profile:	DO in clinical department involved in courses and committees at the undergraduate,
	graduate, and CME levels.

Personal Statement		
Goals and/or Philosophy	 Create an environment that enables learners to reach their maximum potential Create opportunities for students to practice holistic, patient centered medicine across disciplines and have cause to learn medicine broadly Provide elements that help learners succeed (i.e. one-on one coaching, teaching rounds, effective administration systems) 	
Preparation/ Ongoing Reflection	 Participation in educational seminars Keep abreast of new educational theories and practices through current literature Participation in leadership of two national-level educational workshops in which osteopathic principles were reviewed and related to the full continuum of medical education 	
Sharing Leadership Strategies and/or Experiences with Peers	 Establish collegial, mutually supportive relationships with other educational leaders Share and receive ideas about specific solutions to organizational and/or programmatic issues Contribute to the osteopathic profession through annual educators' meetings and selected listservs 	

Dates	Descriptions relative to quantity	Descriptions relative to quality		
	Director of Medical Students Selective for Department (Year1-Year5) (60-70% of students ke the 2-week selective; average enrollment is 8-10 students)			
Y1-Y2	1. Led faculty effort to identify learning objectives for selective.			
	 4 one-hour meetings of 3 faculty involved educational specialist as consultant 	 consensus of faculty about 25 key objectives, organized into 4 categories 		
		 email from educator consultant commenting on quality of process and outcome (see Appendix A). average student ratings of clarity and value of learning objectives consistently above 4.5 on a 5- point scale (n=25 over 3 years; see Appendix B – Table 1) positive comments from learners their perception of impact of objectives (See Appendix B – Table 2) 		
Y1-Y2 2. Facilitated development of a series of cases to complement clinical exposures coverage of learning objectives		s to complement clinical exposures to ensure		
	 personally wrote cases (approximately 100 hours work over 3 months) that crossed discipline lines and included musculoskeletal medicine 	 improved confidence in students and faculty that minimally acceptable exposure to key clinical problems is achieved by all students (see unsolicited email in Appendix A) 		
	 solicited 2 faculty volunteers to provide peer review tested and revised with learners on selective 	average student ratings of cases consistently above 4.0 on 5-point scale (n=25 students over 3 years; See Appendix B- Table 3)		

Y1-Y2	3. Assisted with design/implementation of a lecture series integrated across the clinical selectives		
	 attended 3 1-hour meetings and represented interests of department 	consistent participation by faculty volunteers (suggesting effectiveness of schedule)	
	 recruited 3 faculty from department to give lectures 	curriculum committee confirmed lecture series meets needs	
	 oversaw ongoing participation of faculty to ensure effectiveness 	 informal learner feedback that lecture series is well-received by learners (see Appendix A). 	
Positio	n: Coordinator for Departmental Electives ((Year1-Year3)	
Y1-Y2	1. Led subcommittee of 3 faculty in a review of elective offerings by department		
	 conducted 4 2-hour meetings over 6 month period coordinated review of student participation in 	needs assessment results used to eliminate out- dated electives and to identify where new electives are needed	
	 departmental electives for the past 5 years surveyed 20 clinical faculty in department and compiled results 	 results of needs assessment survey accepted after peer review of abstract and presented at AACOM annual meeting 	
Y1-Y2	2. Helped initiate and gain approval for 3 new	v elective offerings	
	recruited 6 faculty (2/elective)	• electives filled (4 students per offering for 2 years)	
	 led faculty in effort to establish goals for elective prepared written description for elective 	 two students completing elective matched as residents in Year1 and one student in Year2 	
	catalog		
	n: Chair Curriculum Reform Task Force (Ye	ear1-Year3)	
Y1-Y2	1. Conducted needs assessment		
	 held 10 1-hour meetings with committee of 7 faculty over 12-month period held two focus groups with 6 students to 	 results of needs assessment presented to curriculum committee; results guided planning and implementation of change 	
	obtain their perspective about issues	 solicited letter from member of committee who reflected on methods and outcomes of task force and my role as chair to ensure its success (see Appendix A) 	
Y1-Y2	2. Developed proposal for changes in course	S	
	 held 10 meetings with committee of 6 faculty over 15 month period 	Recommendations well received by curriculum committee. (See letter from chair of curriculum committee in Amendia	
	 prepared, presented and revised written proposal after several iterations 	committee in Appendix A which speaks to value and quality of recommendations)	
	 Emphasized distinctive osteopathic features in curriculum including musculoskeletal medicine and the biopsychosocial model 	 majority of recommendations implemented in new curriculum in Year1 and only modestly modified in interim 	
	n: Director CME course (Year1-Year3)		
Y1	1. Designed 2-day course (12 1-hour sessions inv		
	 conducted informal on-line needs assessment of potential participants and established 10 	80%-90% of participants indicate on post course evaluation that session objectives are met	
	goals and objectives for courserecruited 5 nationally recognized speakers and 10 COM faculty; oriented them to goals for	 Average overall rating for course is consistently above 4.5 on 5-point scale (n=70-90 evals/year).(See Appendix B – Table 4) 	
	sessioncoordinated logistics with CME office	 Unsolicited letter from course participant expressing high regard for course organization and preparation of speakers (See Appendix A). 	

Y1	2. Introduced innovative interactive session format, modeled after Team Learning			
	 consulted with 2 Team Learning experts at Baylor to plan and prepare materials for session 	 session implemented as planned, resulting in significantly greater levels of perceived interactivity (See Appendix C). 		
	 consulted with CME evaluator to plan and implement evaluation of format 	 post-test survey results reveal interactive session increased participants perception of learning gains (See Appendix C) 		
		 post-test survey results reveal interactive session was viewed as a positive addition to conference by over 50% of participants (See Appendix C). 		
Position: Member Medical School Admissions Committee (Year1-Year7)				
Y1-Y7	1. Contributed to implementation of new admissions procedure			
	 served on ad hoc sub group of admissions committee to plan changes to admissions interview process 	 new procedure allows MCAT and grades to be de- emphasized in interview, allows for other attributes to be explored with less bias. 		
	 piloted use of new procedure before fully implemented participated in full implementation and 	 Pursued applicants with strong interest in osteopathic medicine likely to practice primary care. 		
	provided feedback about impact on interview process	 MCAT scores and grades have remained high (and actually have increased in the last two years) 		
Discus	sion of Breadth			
position: Subcom	y efforts have been concentrated on medical stude s from Director of Selectives and Electives, Director mittees. More importantly, I have been engaged in s involving faculty, administration, and students.	r of CME courses, and Chair of Curriculum		

Personal Statement

This page contains the entire personal statement (see below). The personal statement is included here to illustrate how to write a personal statement. Note how the statement makes reference to the individual's goals and/or philosophy as an educational leader, preparation, ongoing efforts to improve, and to share strategies, experiences, lessons learned, etc. to benefit others. You should limit your personal statement to 2 pages.

Introduction

I chose academic medicine because I wanted to be directly involved in the training of new physicians. With this interest, I have been fairly assertive over the years in getting involved in medical student education at both the preclinical and clinical levels. During the past 5 years, I have served as Director of Medical Students Selective for our department; I have been Coordinator for Departmental Electives; I have actively participated on numerous college-level committees for medical student education; and I have organized and directed a 2-day CME course for my discipline.

Background and Preparation

I gained an interest in education while a college student when I had an incredible physics course and a terrible biology course in the same semester. I thought a great deal about what made the two courses so different and what it would take to improve the biology course. This led me to take several education courses for non-majors, including one focusing on instructional design and leadership. As an osteopathic medical student I volunteered for and was selected to serve on the school's curriculum committee, solidifying my enthusiasm for academic medicine. This interest was augmented in residency training as I took advantage of several opportunities to participate in educational seminars in an attempt to improve my own teaching abilities and to prepare for a leadership role as chief resident.

In an effort to keep abreast of new educational theories and practices since becoming a faculty member, I have subscribed to and consistently read portions of <u>Academic Medicine</u>. I have participated in two workshops about education at the national level, one specifically designed for educational leadership. In both workshops I led a discussion about the applications of osteopathic principles in osteopathic medical education over the full curriculum.

Goals

My overarching goal as an educational leader is to help create an environment that enables learners to reach their maximum potential. I like to think about this environment as a balance between "challenge" and "support". Challenge refers to the opportunities I create for students to practice and/or to enable them to learn new things. In this respect, I like my learners to be forced out of their comfort zone on a daily basis. Support refers to the elements of the environment I provide which help learners to succeed, such as my informal one-on-one coaching, formal teaching rounds, and effective administrative systems.

Leadership as Scholarship

Being a leader in educational efforts can be a demanding responsibility. My tasks have been made increasingly difficult with the increased demands on faculty time from patient care. I have trouble protecting my own time to work on program issues. I also have trouble getting

faculty to spend the same amount of time teaching as they used to. My response to this challenge has been to work smarter, not harder. To do this, I have established collegial, mutually supportive relationships with other educational leaders, both in and outside of the osteopathic community. Through this network, I share and receive ideas about specific solutions to organizational and/or programmatic issues.

Appendix/Documentation

<u>Documentation in appendices to support statements of quantity and quality</u> in the structured summary is not provided for this example. (See description of the contents of the appendices below). However, you should include such documentation in your mini-portfolio, keeping within the limit of 25 pages (13 pages front and back).

Be sure to make clear reference to the documentation on your summary page by number or name (e.g., "See Appendix A"). If you refer to learner assessments, you should include a **summary** of the forms you received giving you those assessments. The documentation you provide will enable the primary and secondary reviewers to "audit" the quality information your includes in your structured summary.

Table of Appendices

The following table lists the elements that would have been included in this portfolio had it been from an actual faculty submission for the award.		
Appendix A	 Letters from: ✓ email from educator consultant/observer which comments on quality of leadership methods observed. ✓ unsolicited email from faculty members about the impact of a innovation ✓ email from student representative expressing value of lecture series ✓ solicited letter from committee member about leadership of committee ✓ letter from chair of Curriculum Committee ✓ unsolicited letter from course participant about quality of 2-day CME course 	
Appendix B	 Insolucted letter norm course participant about quality of 2-day CME course Table 1 student ratings from three years Table 2 representative comments from evaluation about impact of objectives Table 3 summary of ratings of cases Table 4 new course evaluations 	
Appendix C	 page from program listing date and time of national presentation summary of results presented at national meeting about impact of innovation 	

Curriculum Vitae

A curriculum vitae is not included in this example, but would be if it were an actual portfolio. The CV, in standard format, allows primary and secondary reviewers to "audit" statements in the structured summary.